



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MN
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,897	07/02/2003	Robert W. Boesel	029573-0401	9534
23524	7590	06/22/2007	EXAMINER	
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 150 EAST GILMAN STREET P.O. BOX 1497 MADISON, WI 53701-1497			YU, HENRY W	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2182		
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		06/22/2007		PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/613,897	BOESEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Henry Yu	2182	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 July 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 07/02/2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/15/2007.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

The instant application having Application No. 10/613,897 has a total of 22 claims pending in the application; there is 7 independent claim and 15 dependent claims, all of which are ready for examination by the examiner.

I. INFORMATION CONCERNING PRIORITY

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for priority based on provisional application 60/393633 filed on July 2, 2002.

II. INFORMATION CONCERNING OATH/DECLARATION

Oath/Declaration

2. The applicant's oath/declaration has been reviewed by the examiner and is found to conform to the requirements prescribed in 37 C.F.R. 1.63.

III. INFORMATION CONCERNING DRAWINGS

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description:

In FIGURE 18, the reference number 91 pertaining to the "soft combiner" is absent.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description:

In FIGURE 13, item 77 is labeled as a "*transform*," though in the specification item 77 is labeled as a "*transformer*."

In FIGURE 20, item 94 is labeled as "*known pilots*," though in the specification item 94 is labeled as a "*known paths*."

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be

labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

IV. OBJECTIONS TO THE SPECIFICATION

Specification

5. The applicant's specification submitted is acceptable for examination purposes.

V. REJECTIONS NOT BASED ON PRIOR ART

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
7. Claims 1, 3, 9-11, 18, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 discloses the limitation "*the symbols*" in line 8 and line 12. However, in both instances it is unclear what the symbols are referring to since there are three groups of symbols disclosed. Examiner assumes, for the purpose of examination, that the symbols in line 8 refer to the "*second group of symbols*" while the symbols in line 12 refer to the "*third group of symbols*."

Claim 3 discloses the limitation “*communication technology*” in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim, since “*communication technology*” has not been disclosed in the claim or any parent claim. Examiner suggests Applicant replace the word “*the*” before “*communication technology*” with the word –a–.

Claim 3 discloses the limitation “*environment*” in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim, since “*communication technology*” has not been disclosed in the claim or any parent claim. Also, it is unclear what the environment is referring to. Examiner assumes, for the purpose of examination, that the “*environment*” refers to the operating environment for the method as disclosed in claim 1, and suggests Applicant replace the word “*the*” before “*environment*” with the word –a–.

Claim 9 discloses the limitation “*the symbols*” in line 8 and line 13. However, in both instances it is unclear what the symbols are referring to since there are three groups of symbols disclosed. Examiner assumes, for the purpose of examination, that the symbols in line 8 refer to the “*second group of symbols*” while the symbols in line 13 refer to the “*third group of symbols*.”

Claim 10 discloses the limitation “*the digital samples*” in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim, since “*digital samples*” has not been disclosed in the claim. Examiner suggests Applicant remove the word “*the*” before “*digital samples*.”

Claim 11 discloses the “*buses are operable to permit random use of the plurality of buffers*.” It is unclear what is meant by the buses being operable to permit “*random*

"use" of the buffers (e.g. random access of buffers or processing random rates).

Examiner assumes, for the purpose of examination, that the "*random use*" pertains to processing random rates.

Claim 18 discloses the limitation "*the symbols*" in lines 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 19, 21, 23, 25, and 27. However, in all instances it is unclear what the symbols are referring to since there are six groups of symbols disclosed. Examiner assumes, for the purpose of examination, that the symbols in lines 8 and 10 refer to the "*second group of symbols*," the symbols in line 12 and 14 refer to the "*third group of symbols*," the symbols in line 16 and 19 refer to the "*fourth group of symbols*," the symbols in line 21 and 23 refer to the "*fifth group of symbols*," and the symbols in line 25 and 27 refers to the "*sixth group of symbols*."

Claim 22 discloses the limitation "*the digital samples*" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim, since "*digital samples*" has not been disclosed in the claim. Examiner suggests Applicant remove the word "*the*" before "*digital samples*."

VI. REJECTIONS BASED ON PRIOR ART

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

9. **Claims 1-4, 6-7, 9-15, 17, and 22** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sriram et al. (Publication Number US 2002/0176489 A1).

As per **claim 1**, Sriram et al. discloses “*a method of processing digital communication signals in a system including a plurality of buffers, the method comprising: processing from all known paths of a first group of symbols (time tracking that allows demodulation of a particular multipath at a particular timing condition; Page 2, paragraph 0026), wherein buffered digital samples corresponding to the symbols start in a first buffer and end in a second buffer (two of the three buffers are available for processing by a correlator datapath), and receiving samples at a third buffer during the processing of symbols (remaining buffer is being written into by incoming chips; Page 1, paragraph 0009)*” where the buffer is circular (**Page 1, paragraph 0007**) and at each iteration the buffer “slides” by an interval of 16 chips (with each buffer consisting of 16 chips) in a circular manner to enable the datapath to have access to another buffer (**Page 3, paragraph 0040; FIG. 2**). Since the triple buffer of the system/method is circular, Sriram et al. also discloses “*processing from all known paths of a second group of symbols, wherein buffered digital samples corresponding to the symbols start in the second buffer and end in the third buffer (two of the three buffers are available for processing by a correlator datapath), and receiving samples at the first buffer during the processing of the symbols (remaining buffer is being written into by incoming chips; Page 1, paragraph 0009)*” and “*processing from all known paths of a third group of symbols, wherein buffered digital samples*

corresponding to the symbols start in the third buffer and end in the first buffer (two of the three buffers are available for processing by a correlator datapath), and receiving samples at the second buffer during the processing of the symbols (remaining buffer is being written into by incoming chips; Page 1, paragraph 0009).

As per claim 2, Sriram et al. discloses "the plurality of buffers hold a number of digital samples (**despread symbols dumped into a finger symbol buffer**), the number being adjusted for communication conditions (**number of despread symbols dumped into a finger symbol buffer depends on the value of SF (symbol fingers); Page 3, paragraph 0041**)."

As per claim 3, Sriram et al. discloses "the communication conditions include the communication technology (**system/method capable of supporting spread-spectrum CDMA; Page 4, paragraph 0048**) and anticipated maximum useful multi-path delay in the environment (**system/method is capable of handling special cases of early/ontime/late correlations that occur when the on-time sample is near a chip boundary; Page 4, paragraph 0044; FIG. 4a-4c**)."

As per claim 4, Sriram et al. discloses "received information relevant to a given group of transmitted symbols (**input buffer chips**) is processed in one iteration, without a need to store intermediate results for the given group of transmitted symbols (**despreading a plurality of triple data input buffer chips by the correlator datapath in a single processing cycle; Page 1, paragraph 0011**)."

As per claim 6, Sriram et al. discloses "tuning a receiver to a first channel, storing received symbols from the first channel (**receiving chip samples into the triple data input buffer (Page 1, paragraph 0010) with an input buffer associated with time tracking of a particular symbol multipath; Page 1, paragraph 0007**), and tuning the receiver to a second channel (**timing change associated with the chip samples, indicating that samples at another timing value has been inputted beforehand; Page 1, paragraph 0012**)."

As per claim 7, Sriram et al. discloses "processing symbols received from the first channel during extra cycles of processing while the receiver is tuned to the second cycle (**in special cases when a timing change request has arrived, one extra cycle is idled to adjust for the time change before the system resumes normal operation; Page 1, paragraph 0007; Page 3, paragraph 0041**)."

As per claim 9, Sriram et al. discloses "a method of processing digital communication signals in a system including a plurality of buffers, the method comprising: processing symbols corresponding to a first group of symbols to be processed and from all known paths (**time tracking that allows demodulation of a particular multipath at a particular timing condition; Page 2, paragraph 0026**), wherein the symbols in a first path start in a first buffer and end in a second buffer (**two of the three buffers are available for processing by a correlator datapath**), and receiving samples at a third buffer during the processing the first group of symbols (**remaining buffer is being written into by incoming chips; Page 1, paragraph 0009**)" where the buffer is circular (Page 1, paragraph 0007) and at each iteration the

buffer "slides" by an interval of 16 chips (with each buffer consisting of 16 chips) in a circular manner to enable the datapath to have access to another buffer (**Page 3, paragraph 0040; FIG. 2**). Since the triple buffer of the system/method is circular, Sriram et al. also discloses "*processing symbols corresponding to a second group of symbols to be processed and from all known paths, wherein the symbols in a second path start in the second buffer and end in the third buffer (two of the three buffers are available for processing by a correlator datapath), and receiving samples at the first buffer during the processing of the second group of symbols (remaining buffer is being written into by incoming chips; Page 1, paragraph 0009)*" and "*processing symbols corresponding to a third group of symbols to be processed and from all known paths, wherein the symbols in a third path start in the third buffer and end in the first buffer (two of the three buffers are available for processing by a correlator datapath), and receiving samples at the second buffer during the processing of the third group of symbols (remaining buffer is being written into by incoming chips; Page 1, paragraph 0009).*"

Sriram et al. also discloses "*adapting duration time of the processing of the first, second, and third groups based on channel and signal conditions (number of despread symbols dumped into a finger symbol buffer depends on the value of SF (symbol fingers); Page 3, paragraph 0041).*"

As per **claim 10**, Sriram et al. discloses "*an apparatus to process digital communication signals, the apparatus comprising: a plurality of buffers (triple data buffer; Page 1, paragraph 0009)*" and "*a processing unit (correlator coprocessor;*

Figure 7)." Sriram et al. also discloses "programmed memory having instructions (configuration tables; **Figure 7)** directing the processing unit (**correlator coprocessor through a controller**) to process the digital samples corresponding to a group of symbols to be processed in a plurality of buffers, the digital samples starting in a first buffer of the plurality of buffers and ending in a second buffer of the plurality of buffers (**two of the three buffers are available for processing by a correlator datapath).**"

Sriram et al. further discloses "wherein the digital samples are received at a third buffer of the plurality of buffers during the processing of the digital samples (**remaining buffer is being written into by incoming chips; Page 1, paragraph 0009).**"

As per **claim 11**, Sriram et al. discloses "comprising input and output busses (data path; **Figure 7)** operable to permit random use of the plurality of buffers during processing (**demodulation even when the multipath is not constant; Page 2, paragraph 0026).**"

As per **claim 12**, Sriram et al. discloses "symbols are processed in a different group of buffers after a process iteration is complete (**at each iteration, the buffer is shifted over by 16 chips; Figure 1).**"

As per **claim 13**, Sriram et al. discloses "a method of processing digital communication signals, the method comprising: receiving a communication signal at a receiver (**data from Rx source into input buffers; Figure 7).**" Sriram et al. also discloses "communicating digital samples from the received communication signal to sample buffers (**signals from Rx source 0 and 1 to input buffers; Figure 7), wherein**

the digital samples include symbols (symbol despreading; Page 2, paragraph 0033).

Sriram et al. discloses “*processing the symbols in a first group of sample buffers (two of the three buffers, consisting of one group, are processed by a correlator datapath) and receiving digital samples from the receiver at a second group of sample buffers (remaining buffer, for the second group, is being written into by incoming chips; Page 1, paragraph 0009).*”

As per claim 14, Sriram et al. discloses “*after symbols in a symbol path are completely processed, designating sample buffers in the first group of sample buffers as being in the second group of sample buffers (after the first iteration, there is a shift to the right of 16 chips, where the second group of 16 chips become part of the group of buffers accessible for processing in the next iteration k+1; Figure 1).*

Sriram et al. discloses “*designating sample buffers in the second group of sample buffers as being in the first group of sample buffers, whereby sample buffers are rotated between processing iterations and digital sample receiving operations (the buffer is circular (Page 1, paragraph 0007) and at each iteration the buffer ‘slides’ by an interval of 16 chips (each buffer consists of 16 chips) in a circular manner; Page 3, paragraph 0040; Figure 2).*

As per claim 15, Sriram et al. discloses “*sample buffers in the first group of sample buffers designated as being in the second group of sample buffers include all the sample buffers in the first group of sample buffers (after the first iteration, there is a shift to the right of 16 chips, where the second group of 16 chips become part of the group of buffers accessible for processing in the next iteration k+1; Figure*

1) except a sample buffer having an end of a symbol path (at iteration k+1, buffers from the first iteration k that include the notation ‘x’ for ‘on-time sample being used for despread’ are not included; Figure 1.)”

As per claim 17, Sriram et al. discloses “*a method of processing digital communication signals in a system including a plurality of buffers, the method comprising: processing symbols corresponding to a first group of symbols to be processed and starting in a first buffer and ending in a second buffer (two of the three buffers are available for processing by a correlator datapath), and receiving samples at a third buffer during the processing of the first group of symbols (remaining buffer is being written into by incoming chips; Page 1, paragraph 0009)*” where the buffer is circular (**Page 1, paragraph 0007**) and at each iteration the buffer “slides” by an interval of 16 chips (with each buffer consisting of 16 chips) in a circular manner to enable the datapath to have access to another buffer (**Page 3, paragraph 0040; Figure 2**). Since the triple buffer of the system/method is circular, Sriram et al. also discloses “*processing symbols corresponding to a second group of symbols to be processed and starting in the second buffer and ending in the third buffer (two of the three buffers are available for processing by a correlator datapath), and receiving samples at the first buffer during the processing of the symbols (remaining buffer is being written into by incoming chips; Page 1, paragraph 0009)*” and “*processing symbols corresponding to a third group of symbols to be processed and starting in the third buffer and ending in the first buffer (two of the three buffers are available for processing by a correlator datapath), and receiving samples at the second buffer during the processing of the*

symbols (remaining buffer is being written into by incoming chips; Page 1, paragraph 0009).

As per **claim 22**, Sriram et al. discloses “*an apparatus to process digital communication signals, the apparatus comprising: a plurality of buffers (triple data buffer; Page 1, paragraph 0009)*” and “*a processing unit (correlator coprocessor; Figure 7).*” Sriram et al. also discloses “*programmed memory having instructions (configuration tables; Figure 7) directing the processing unit (correlator coprocessor through a controller) to process the digital samples corresponding to a group of symbols to be processed in a plurality of buffers, the digital samples starting in a first buffer of the plurality of buffers and ending in a second buffer of the plurality of buffers (two of the three buffers are available for processing by a correlator datapath).*”

Sriram et al. further discloses “*the digital samples are received at a third buffer of the plurality of buffers during the processing of the digital samples (remaining buffer is being written into by incoming chips; Page 1, paragraph 0009), and wherein the processing unit (through a correlator datapath) is operable to select digital samples or an intermediate result from a buffer coupled to the processing unit (despread a plurality of triple data input buffer chips selected from two buffers available for processing; Page 1, paragraph 0011).*”

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. **Claim 5** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sriram et al. (Publication Number US 2002/0176489 A1) in view of Lee et al. (Patent Number US 6,650,140 B2)

As per **claim 5**, Sriram et al. discloses "*the method*" (see rejection to **claim 1** above). Though Sriram et al. discloses "*process received symbols in the plurality of buffers (despread a plurality of triple data input buffer chips selected from two buffers; Page 1, paragraph 0011)*," Sriram et al. does not disclose "*turning off a receiver subsystem and continuing to process received symbols in the plurality of buffers.*"

Lee et al. discloses "*turning off a receiver subsystem and continuing to process received symbols in the plurality of buffers (receiver can be turned off if it is not needed; Column 15, lines 40-44).*"

Sriram et al. and Lee et al. are analogous art in that they are from the same field of communication systems and interfacing.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of processing digital communication signals in a system including a plurality of buffers as disclosed by Sriram et al. with the idea of the

turning off the receiver as disclosed by Lee et al., which Lee et al. notes is related to a power-down mode (**Column 15, lines 40-41**). The ability to power down any unused components can allow a device to save power, especially in mobile devices that run off a battery with a finite amount of power.

12. **Claim 8** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sriram et al. (Publication Number US 2002/0176489 A1) in view of Kim et al. (Patent Number US 6,714,527 B2).

As per **claim 8**, Sriram et al. discloses "*the method*" (see rejection to **claim 1** above). However, Sriram et al. does not explicitly disclose "*the first, second, and third paths have different sampling rates*."

Kim et al. discloses "*a first, second, and third paths have different sampling rates (a plurality of communication signals have differing spreading codes; Abstract, lines 1-2)*."

Sriram et al. and Kim et al. are analogous art in that they are from the same field of communication systems, particularly regarding the CDMA protocol.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of processing digital communication signals in a system including a plurality of buffers as disclosed by Sriram et al. with the idea of the paths have different sampling rates as disclosed by Kim et al.

Kim et al. notes that using a plurality of spreading codes, with each code pertaining to a particular user, would allow a signal from a particular user relating to a particular spreading code to be enhanced while the signals for other users are not

enhanced (**Column 1, lines 60-65**). This is particularly useful in multiple access digital communication systems, where a plurality of users can access the same communication medium to transmit or receive data (**Column 1, lines 15-21**), with such systems being useful within communication media with limited bandwidth.

13. **Claim 16** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sriram et al. (Publication Number US 2002/0176489 A1) in view of Roohparvar (Patent Number US 6,615,307 B1).

As per **claim 16**, Sriram et al. discloses "*the method*" (see rejection to **claim 13** above). However, Sriram et al. does not disclose "*shutting down sample buffers when sufficient processing is complete*."

Roohparvar "*shutting down sample buffers (input buffers) when sufficient processing is complete (during power-down modes, which shows that there are no further processes to handle; Column 5, lines 6-8)*."

Sriram et al. and Roohparvar are analogous art in that they are from the same field of interface buffering.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of processing digital communication signals in a system including a plurality of buffers as disclosed by Sriram et al. with the idea of the turning off the buffers as disclosed by Roohparvar, which Roohparvar notes is related to providing low standby power (**Column 5, lines 6-8**). The ability to power down any unused components can allow a device to save power, especially in mobile devices that run off a battery with a finite amount of power.

14. Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sriram et al. (Publication Number US 2002/0176489 A1) in view of Robertson et al. (Publication Number US 2001/0038633 A1).

As per claim 18, Sriram et al. discloses “*a method of processing digital communication signals in a system including a plurality of buffers, the method comprising: processing from all known paths of a first group of symbols (time tracking that allows demodulation of a particular multipath at a particular timing condition; Page 2, paragraph 0026)*” and the idea of processing data from certain buffers while receiving samples at other buffers in “*wherein buffered digital samples corresponding to the symbols start in a first buffer and end in a third buffer, and receiving samples at a fourth buffer and a fifth buffer during the processing of symbols (two of the three buffers are available for processing by a correlator datapath while the remaining buffer is being written into by incoming chips; Page 1, paragraph 0009)*,” where the buffer is circular (**Page 1, paragraph 0007**) and at each iteration the buffer “slides” by an interval of 16 chips (with each buffer consisting of 16 chips) in a circular manner to enable the datapath to have access to another buffer (**Page 3, paragraph 0040**; **Figure 2**).

However, Sriram et al. does not disclose the use of five buffers as noted in “*processing from all known paths of a second group of symbols, wherein buffered digital samples corresponding to the symbols start in the third buffer and end in the fifth buffer, and receiving samples at the first buffer and second buffer during the processing of the symbols,*” “*processing from all known paths of a third group of symbols, wherein*

buffered digital samples corresponding to the symbols start in the fifth buffer and end in the first buffer, and receiving samples at the fourth buffer and the third buffer during the processing of the symbols," "processing from all known paths of a fourth group of symbols, wherein buffered digital samples corresponding to the symbols start in the first buffer and end in the third buffer, and receiving samples at a second buffer and the fifth buffer during the processing of the symbols" "processing from all known paths of a fifth group of symbols, wherein buffered digital samples corresponding to the symbols start in the third buffer and end in the fifth buffer, and receiving samples at the fourth buffer and the first buffer during the processing of the symbols," and "processing from all known paths of a sixth group of symbols, wherein buffered digital samples corresponding to the symbols start in the fifth buffer and end in the first buffer, and receiving samples at the second buffer and the first buffer during the processing of the symbols."

Robertson et al. discloses the use of a buffer with five entries (**Page 9, paragraph 0077**), which combined with the buffering system of Sriram et al. discloses "*processing from all known paths of a second group of symbols, wherein buffered digital samples corresponding to the symbols start in the third buffer and end in the fifth buffer (several of the buffers are available for processing by a correlator datapath), and receiving samples at the first buffer and second buffer during the processing of the symbols (remaining buffer is being written into by incoming chips; Page 1, paragraph 0009)*," "*processing from all known paths of a third group of symbols, wherein buffered digital samples corresponding to the symbols start in the fifth buffer*

and end in the first buffer (several of the buffers are available for processing by a correlator datapath), and receiving samples at the fourth buffer and the third buffer during the processing of the symbols (remaining buffer is being written into by incoming chips; Page 1, paragraph 0009)," "processing from all known paths of a fourth group of symbols, wherein buffered digital samples corresponding to the symbols start in the first buffer and end in the third buffer (several of the buffers are available for processing by a correlator datapath), and receiving samples at a second buffer and the fifth buffer during the processing of the symbols (remaining buffer is being written into by incoming chips; Page 1, paragraph 0009)," "processing from all known paths of a fifth group of symbols, wherein buffered digital samples corresponding to the symbols start in the third buffer and end in the fifth buffer (several of the buffers are available for processing by a correlator datapath), and receiving samples at the fourth buffer and the first buffer during the processing of the symbols (remaining buffer is being written into by incoming chips; Page 1, paragraph 0009)," and "processing from all known paths of a sixth group of symbols, wherein buffered digital samples corresponding to the symbols start in the fifth buffer and end in the first buffer (several of the buffers are available for processing by a correlator datapath), and receiving samples at the second buffer and the first buffer during the processing of the symbols (remaining buffer is being written into by incoming chips; Page 1, paragraph 0009)."

Sriram et al. and Robertson et al. are analogous art in that they focus on the problem of buffering within a communication system.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of processing digital communication signals in a system including a plurality of buffers as disclosed by Sriram et al. with the idea of the using a five-entry buffer as disclosed by Robertson et al.

Robertson et al. notes that it is prudent to include at least one more additional entry in several cases, notably where the receive clock is faster than the transmit clock and to account for maximum phase skew that may be present between transmit and receive clocks (**Page 9, paragraph 0077**). Having five entries as opposed to three entries in a buffer not only can better account for the rate discrepancy between the system's receiver and a separate system's transmitter, but also can allow for more data to be stored before processing.

As per **claim 19**, the combination of Sriram et al. and Robertson et al. discloses "*the method*" (see rejection to **claim 18** above). Sriram et al. further discloses "*each of the plurality of buffers holds a different number of digital samples (despread symbols dumped into a finger symbol buffer) based on communication conditions (number of despread symbols dumped into a finger symbol buffer depends on the value of SF (symbol fingers); Page 3, paragraph 0041).*"

As per **claim 20**, the combination of Sriram et al. and Robertson et al. discloses "*the method*" (see rejection to **claim 18** above). Sriram et al. further discloses "*the communication conditions include multi-path delays (system/method is capable of handling special cases of early/ontime/late correlations that occur when the on-time sample is near a chip boundary; Page 4, paragraph 0044; Figure 4a-4c)* and

waveform features (data portions are associated with time tracking of a particular symbol multipath; Page 2, paragraph 0033)."

As per **claim 21**, the combination of Sriram et al. and Robertson et al. discloses "*the method*" (see rejection to **claim 18** above). Sriram et al. further discloses "*the paths are from a plurality of base stations (the system is capable of performing CDMA base station operations (Page 4, paragraph 0048) in a multipath environment that may not be constant; Page 2, paragraph 0026).*"

VII. RELEVANT ART CITED BY THE EXAMINER

15. The following prior art made of record and relied upon is cited to establish the level of skill in the applicant's art and those arts considered reasonably pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See MPEP 707.05(c).

16. The following references teach data transfer as they pertain to IC circuits, especially in the setting and transfer of data/parameters.

U.S. PATENT NUMBERS:

4,841,574

6,115,728

6,122,444

6,721,295 B1

VIII. CLOSING COMMENTS

Conclusion

a. STATUS OF CLAIMS IN THE APPLICATION

Art Unit: 2182

17. The following is a summary of the treatment and status of all claims in the application as recommended by M.P.E.P 707.07(i):

a(1). CLAIMS REJECTED IN THE APPLICATION

18. Per the instant office action, claims 1-22 have received a first action on the merits and are subject of a first action non-final.

19. The examiner requests, in response to this Office action, support be shown for language added to any original claims on amendment and any new claims. That is, indicate support for newly added claim language by specifically pointing to page(s) and line no(s) in the specification and/or drawing figure(s). This will assist the examiner in prosecuting the application.

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry Yu whose telephone number is (571) 272-9779. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim HUYNH can be reached on (571) 272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

June 14, 2007

Henry Yu
Art Unit 2182

Alan F. Elm
6/17/07